Norm-Critical Design Thinking

An intervention that combines action-oriented design thinking with reflexive norm critique

Ingredients from design thinking

- Empathy the ability to put yourelf in other people's place
- $W^{i}c_{k}e^{d}p_{r}o^{b}l_{e}m^{s}$ no single solution!
- Defining a problem will also preempt available solutions

Mixed with norm critique

- Awareness of normative assumptions and expectations
- Reflections on power and privilege, steroretypes, prejudice, bias
- Shift in focus from individual to norms for organisational practice and processes





Identity exercise pt. 1

- a) Write up to 5 categories based on how you self-identify: who are you, and which labels would you use to describe yourself?
- b) Remove 4 categories so only 1 remains; the one that 'captures' your essence / core identity
- c) How does it feel to reduce yourself to just one category?
- d) Which category do you think others will notice about you first, in which contexts and why?
- e) What are the possible implications and consequences?





Identity exercise pt. 2

Combine one or more of your chosen identity categories from the first part of the exercise with an associated stereotype that, however, does *not* apply to you. Use the following sentence structure ad fill in the blanks:

I am _____, but I am NOT _____. E.g., "I am __*an academic* __, but I am NOT __*from an academic background* __." "I am __*married* _____, but I am NOT __*married to a woman* __."



References and resources

Christensen, J. F. (2020). Weird ways of normalizing: Queering diversity research through norm critique. In *The Routledge companion to organizational diversity research methods* (pp. 59-72). Routledge.

Christensen, J. F., Mahler, R., & Teilmann-Lock, S. (2021). GenderLAB: Norm-critical design thinking for gender equality and diversity. *Organization*, *28*(6), 1036-1048.

Guschke, B. L., & Christensen, J. F. (2021). From individual to organisational bias: A norm-critical proposition for unconscious bias intervention in organizations. *Kvinder, Køn & Forskning*, (3), 86-105.



